# Class 17: Agenda and Questions

### 1 Announcements

- HW7 due tomorrow.
- HW8 (last one!!!) out now.
- You are all done with quizzes!
- Final exam is Tuesday, December 12, 3:30-6:30pm.
- Practice exam released soon.
- Plan for Week 10:
  - Tuesday: Fun day on pseudorandomness (no quiz, not on HW or exam)
  - Thursday: The research frontier!

# 2 Questions?

Any questions from the minilectures and/or the quiz? (Stopping times, Martingale stopping theorem)

# 3 Wald's equation

In this exercise we'll get some practice applying the martingale stopping theorem, to prove Wald's equation.

**Theorem 1** (Wald's equation). Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, ...$  are non-negative i.i.d. random variables, distributed according to some random variable X. Let T be a stopping time for  $\{X_i\}$ . If  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[T]$  are both bounded, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{T} X_i\right] = \mathbb{E}[T] \cdot \mathbb{E}[X]. \tag{1}$$

### Group Work

1. Wald's equation hopefully seems pretty intuitive. But there is something to prove! Come up with an example of some random variables  $X_i$  and T that don't obey the hypotheses of Theorem 1, so that the (1) does not hold.

**Note:** To make this more challenging, try to violate as few of the hypotheses as possible.

- 2. Let  $Z_i = \sum_{j=1}^i (X_j \mathbb{E}[X])$ . Prove that  $\{Z_i\}$  is a martingale with respect to  $\{X_i\}$ .
- 3. Argue that the martingale stopping theorem applies to  $\{Z_i\}$  and T, where X, T are as in Theorem 1.
- 4. Use the Martingale stopping theorem to prove Wald's equation.
- 5. Consider rolling a fair, six-sided die repeatly. Let X be the sum of all of the rolls up until the first "6" is rolled, not including that 6. What is  $\mathbb{E}X$ ?

# 4 Ballot Counting

Suppose that there is an election with two candidates, A and B, and n voters; say candidate A is the winner, receiving  $N_A > N_B$  votes. (So  $N_A + N_B = n$ ). The ballots are counted in a random order. What is the probably that A remained ahead for the entire count?

Let  $A_t$  be the number of votes for A at time t; let  $B_t$  be the number of votes for B at time t.

Let  $Z_t = \frac{A_{n-t} - B_{n-t}}{n-t}$ . That is, we imagine that we've already done the count, and then we "uncount" the votes one-by-one.

#### Group Work

- 1. Let T be the smallest t so that  $Z_t = 0$ ; if this never occurs, set T = n 1. Explain why T is a stopping time for  $\{Z_t\}$ , and why the Martingale Stopping Theorem applies to it. (Assume for now that  $\{Z_t\}$  is indeed a martingale; you'll show that soon).
- 2. Apply the Martingale Stopping Theorem to  $\{Z_t\}$  and T, and use it to compute the probability that candidate A was ahead throughout the count.
- 3. Show that  $\{Z_t\}$  is a martingale. (Hint: It might help to think of the process that  $Z_t$  is tracking as follows. Start with two piles of ballots, one of size  $N_A$  and one of size  $N_B$ . Then choose a uniformly random vote to remove from one of the two piles; that will give you two piles corresponding to  $Z_1$ . Continue in this way.)